

## **CITY OF FRANKLIN**

### Community Development Department

## Memorandum

To: City of Franklin Economic Development Commission

From: Dana Monson, Community Development Specialist

Date: April 15, 2025

**Re:** Case C 2025-51: Electro-Spec, Inc. (12-07)

#### **Summary:**

1. On April 16<sup>th</sup>, 2012, the Franklin Common Council passed Resolution No. 2012-07, approving a 10-year tax abatement on personal property tax abatement for Electro-Spec located at 1800 Commerce Parkway.

2. Actual and estimated benefits, as projected for 2024:

	Estimated on SB-1	Actual in 2024	Difference
Employees Retained	62	54	-10
Salaries	\$2,428,138	\$3,540,172	\$1,112,034
New Employees	22	0	-22
Salaries	\$600,000	\$0	-\$600,000
Total Employees	84	54	-30
Total Salaries	\$3,028,138	\$3,540,172	\$512,034
Average Hourly Salaries	\$17.33	\$31.52	\$14.19
Personal Property Improvements	\$2,170,000	\$3,762,384	\$1,592,384

- 3. The company has exceeded the personal property investment estimated on its SB-1 Form.
- 4. The company stated it would create 10 jobs in 2012, 5 to 7 jobs in 2013, and 5 to 8 jobs in 2014. They met the number of jobs retained and created as originally estimated in 2013. That number declined by 4 in 2014. The number of jobs in 2015 exceeds their SB-1 estimate by 4. The total number of employees in 2016 is 4 fewer than estimated on SB-1; however, the average hourly salary exceeds what was estimated. In 2017 the number of employees is 5 short of the estimate, but total salaries and hourly wages well exceed the SB-1 estimate. In 2018 Electro-Spec was down two employees but exceeded hourly wages by \$6.87 compared to SB-1 estimates. In 2019 they were down by 18, however, their wages are above estimates by \$8.00. In 2020 they are down by 15 but wages are up by \$5.12. Jobs have become more skilled than originally estimated in 2012 and have reduced employees but increased wages. The company is down 22 employees in 2021, however, the wages are above by \$7.11 and the company is using more skilled labor, with less unskilled needs. In 2022 the company is still down in employment but over \$10 per hour in wages. IN 2023 the company is down 30 employees, however, the wages are double the estimate. The jobs are more highly skilled and require less manpower than the original estimate. The employment is the same for 2024.

5. The personal property tax abatement is scheduled to expire in 2025 and pay 20265. The final compliance will take place in 2025.

**Staff Recommendation:** Approval



March 12, 2025

Ms. Dana Monson Community Development Specialist Dept. Of Planning & Economic Development 70 East Monroe Street Franklin, IN 46131

RE: Tax Abatement Compliance for Electro-Spec, Inc.

Dear Ms. Monson:

Enclosed please find the CF-1 (Compliance with Statement of Benefits) regarding compliance with personal property tax abatements which were granted to Electro-Spec, Inc. under Franklin Common Council Resolution No. 12-07.

As you can see from reviewing the enclosed documents, our company has been successful in (1) exceeding the initial capital investment set forth in 2012, but are short on maintaining the number of jobs which had been proposed in the Statement of Benefits (Form SB-1) by 30 employees. While falling short by the number of jobs, we actually exceeded the proposed amount of payroll by hiring higher skilled employees for specific engineering and quality roles within the company. We are actively trying to hire quality and plating personnel, but continue to run into problems with bringing in personnel unfortunately.

Please review all of the enclosed documents, and if you have any questions or concerns regarding this matter, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey D. Smith President

(317) 739-0924

jsmith@electro-spec.com



### **COMPLIANCE WITH STATEMENT OF BENEFITS** PERSONAL PROPERTY

**PRIVACY NOTICE** This form contains confidential information pursuant to IC 6-1.1-35-9 and IC 6-1.1-12.1-5.6. FORM CF-1 / PP

20 24 Pay 20 25

Date Signed (month, day, year)

March 12th, 2025

State Form 51765 (R7 / 12-22)

Prescribed by the Department of Local Government Finance

INSTRUCTIONS:

Signature of Authorized Representative

- Property owners whose Statement of Benefits was approved must file this form with the local designating body to show the extent to which there has been compliance with the Statement of Benefits. (IC 6-1.1-12.1-5.6)
   This form must be filed with the Form 103-ERA Schedule of Deduction from Assessed Value between January 1 and May 15, unless a filing extension under IC 6-1.1-3.7 has been granted. A person who obtains a filing extension must file between January 1 and the extended due date of each year.

3. With the approval of	me designaung	boay, compilar	ico illioliti	ation for multi	pie pro	jects may be d	consolidated or	i one (1) comp	llance form (CF	
SECTION 1			-	ORMATION	15	31111	F-TW			
Name of Taxpayer	Name of Taxpayer									
Electro-Spec, Inc.							Johns	Johnson		
Address of Taxpayer (number and street, city, state, and ZIP code)								DLGF Texing District Number		
1800 Commerce Parkway Franklin, IN 46131								41-009		
Name of Contact Person				elephone Num			Email Ad			
Jeffrey D. Smith (317) 739-0924							jsmith@	jsmith@electro-spec.com		
SECTION 2 Name of Designating Body	LOC	CATION AND				RTY				
Franklin City Council	esolution Numi 2-07	Der		05-01	Estimated State Date (month, day, year) 05-01-2012					
Location of Property								Actual Start Date (month, day, year)		
1800 Commerce Parkway F							05-01	05-01-2012		
Description of new manufacturing equipment, new new logistical distribution equipment to be acquire	v research and d od.	evelopment equi	pment, ne	w Information t	echnolo	gy equipment,		Completion Date	(month, day, year)	
New plating equipment and updated lines								Actual Completion Date (month, day, year) 12-31-2014		
SECTION 3	dia s	EMPLOY	EES AND	SALARIES	41.0					
EMPLOYEES AND	SALARIES			AS E	STIMA	ATED ON SB	1	AC'	ΓUAL	
Current Number of Employees			6	2				54		
Salaries			\$	2,428,13	38				3,540,172	
Number of Employees Retained			6	2				54		
Salaries			\$	2,428,13	38			\$2,428,138		
Number of Additional Employees			2	2	0					
Salaries			\$	600,000			5	\$1,112,034		
SECTION 4		cos	T AND V	ALUES					. 100-100	
	MANUFACTURING EQUIPMENT DEV			RESEARCH& PMENTEQUE	MENT	LOGISTICAL DISTRIBUTION EQUIPMENT		IT EQUIPMENT		
	- Leton					-40			on men	
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1	COST	ASSESSED VALUE	cos	ASSE		COST	ASSESSED VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE	
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1 Values Before Project			cos \$	T ASSE			ASSESSED	COST \$	ASSESSED	
	COST	VALUE		T ASSE		COST	ASSESSED VALUE		ASSESSED VALUE	
Values Before Project	COST \$	VALUE \$	\$	T ASSE VAI \$		cost	ASSESSED VALUE \$	\$	ASSESSED VALUE \$	
Values Before Project Plus: Values of Proposed Project	COST \$ \$ 2,170,000	VALUE \$ \$	\$	T ASSE VAI \$ \$		COST \$ \$	ASSESSED VALUE \$	\$	ASSESSED VALUE \$	
Values Before Project Plus: Values of Proposed Project Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced	COST \$ \$ 2,170,000 \$	VALUE \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$	T ASSE VAI \$ \$ \$ \$	SSED	COST \$ \$ \$	ASSESSED VALUE \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$	ASSESSED VALUE \$ \$ \$	
Values Before Project Plus: Values of Proposed Project Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced Net Values Upon Completion of Project	COST \$ \$ 2,170,000 \$ \$	VALUE \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED	\$ \$ \$ \$	T ASSE VAI \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	SSED	COST \$ \$ \$ \$	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED	\$ \$ \$	ASSESSED VALUE \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED	
Values Before Project Plus: Values of Proposed Project Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced Net Values Upon Completion of Project ACTUAL	COST \$ \$ 2,170,000 \$ \$ COST	\$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ COS	T ASSE VAI \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ T ASSE VAI	SSED	COST  \$ \$ \$ \$ COST	ASSESSED VALUE \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE	\$ \$ \$ \$ COST	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE	
Values Before Project  Plus: Values of Proposed Project  Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced  Net Values Upon Completion of Project  ACTUAL  Values Before Project	COST \$ \$ 2,170,000 \$ \$ COST \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ COS	T ASSE  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ T ASSE VAL  \$	SSED	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$	
Values Before Project Plus: Values of Proposed Project Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced Net Values Upon Completion of Project ACTUAL Values Before Project Plus: Values of Proposed Project	COST \$ \$ 2,170,000 \$ \$ COST \$ \$ 4,039,088	VALUE \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ COS	T ASSET VALUE S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	SSED	COST  \$ \$ \$ \$ COST  \$	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$	
Values Before Project  Plus: Values of Proposed Project  Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced  Net Values Upon Completion of Project  ACTUAL  Values Before Project  Plus: Values of Proposed Project  Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced	COST \$ \$ 2,170,000 \$ \$ COST \$ \$ 4,039,088 \$ \$ 4,039,088	VALUE \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ COS \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	T ASSE VAI  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ T ASSE VAI  \$ \$ \$	SSED	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ COST \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$	
Values Before Project  Plus: Values of Proposed Project  Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced  Net Values Upon Completion of Project  ACTUAL  Values Before Project  Plus: Values of Proposed Project  Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced  Net Values Upon Completion of Project  NOTE: The COST of the property is confidenti	COST \$ \$ 2,170,000 \$ \$ COST \$ \$ 4,039,088 \$ \$ 4,039,088	\$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE \$ \$ \$ \$ C 6-1.1-12.1-5.	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	T ASSE VAI  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSE VAI  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	SSED .UE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ COST \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$	
Values Before Project  Plus: Values of Proposed Project  Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced  Net Values Upon Completion of Project  ACTUAL  Values Before Project  Plus: Values of Proposed Project  Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced  Net Values Upon Completion of Project  NOTE: The COST of the property is confidenti	COST \$ 2,170,000 \$ COST \$ 4,039,088 \$ 4,039,088 \$ pursuant to left CONVERTE	\$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE \$ \$ \$ C 6-1.1-12.1-5.0 D AND OTHE	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	T ASSE VAI  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSE VAI  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	SSED B	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ COST \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
Values Before Project  Plus: Values of Proposed Project  Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced  Net Values Upon Completion of Project  ACTUAL  Values Before Project  Plus: Values of Proposed Project  Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced  Net Values Upon Completion of Project  NOTE: The COST of the property is confidentic  SECTION 5  WAST	COST \$ 2,170,000 \$ COST \$ 4,039,088 \$ 4,039,088 \$ pursuant to left CONVERTE	\$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE \$ \$ \$ C 6-1.1-12.1-5.0 D AND OTHE	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	T ASSE VAI  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSE VAI  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	SSED B	COST  \$ \$ \$ COST  \$ \$ Y THE TAXP	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ COST \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
Values Before Project  Plus: Values of Proposed Project  Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced  Net Values Upon Completion of Project  ACTUAL.  Values Before Project  Plus: Values of Proposed Project  Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced  Net Values Upon Completion of Project  NOTE: The COST of the property is confidentic  SECTION 5  WASTE  WASTE CONVERTE  Amount of Solid Waste Converted  Amount of Hazardous Waste Converted	COST \$ 2,170,000 \$ COST \$ 4,039,088 \$ 4,039,088 \$ pursuant to left CONVERTE	\$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE \$ \$ \$ C 6-1.1-12.1-5.0 D AND OTHE	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	T ASSE VAI  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSE VAI  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	SSED B	COST  \$ \$ \$ COST  \$ \$ Y THE TAXP	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ COST \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
Values Before Project Plus: Values of Proposed Project Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced Net Values Upon Completion of Project ACTUAL. Values Before Project Plus: Values of Proposed Project Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced Net Values Upon Completion of Project NOTE: The COST of the property is confident SECTION 5 WAST WASTE CONVERTE Amount of Solid Waste Converted	COST \$ 2,170,000 \$ COST \$ 4,039,088 \$ 4,039,088 \$ pursuant to left CONVERTE	\$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE \$ \$ \$ C 6-1.1-12.1-5.0 D AND OTHE	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	T ASSE VAI  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSE VAI  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	SSED B	COST  \$ \$ \$ COST  \$ \$ Y THE TAXP	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ COST \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$	
Values Before Project Plus: Values of Proposed Project Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced Net Values Upon Completion of Project ACTUAL Values Before Project Plus: Values of Proposed Project Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced Net Values Upon Completion of Project NOTE: The COST of the property is confident SECTION 5 WAST WASTE CONVERTE Amount of Soild Waste Converted Amount of Hazardous Waste Converted Other Benefits:	COST \$ 2,170,000 \$ COST \$ 4,039,088 \$ 4,039,088 \$ pursuant to left CONVERTE	\$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE \$ \$ \$ C 6-1.1-12.1-5.0 D AND OTHER BENEFITS	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	T ASSE VAI  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSE VAI  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	SSED B	COST  \$ \$ \$ COST  \$ \$ Y THE TAXP	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ COST \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
Values Before Project  Plus: Values of Proposed Project  Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced  Net Values Upon Completion of Project  ACTUAL  Values Before Project  Plus: Values of Proposed Project  Less: Values of Any Property Being Replaced  Net Values Upon Completion of Project  NOTE: The COST of the property is confidentic  SECTION 5  WASTE  WASTE CONVERTE  Amount of Solid Waste Converted  Amount of Hazardous Waste Converted	COST \$ 2,170,000 \$ COST \$ 4,039,088 \$ 4,039,088 al pursuant to le CONVERTE DAND OTHER	VALUE \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE \$ \$ \$ \$ C 6-1.1-12.1-5. D AND OTHE R BENEFITS	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	T ASSE VAI  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSE VAI  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	SSED B	COST  \$ \$ \$ COST  \$ \$ Y THE TAXP	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ COST \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$ ASSESSED VALUE  \$ \$ \$ \$	

Title

President

# OPTIONAL: FOR USE BY A DESIGNATING BODY WHO ELECTS TO REVIEW THE COMPLIANCE WITH STATEMENT OF BENEFITS (FORM CF-1)

INSTRUCTIONS: (IC 6-1.1-12.1-5.9)

- Within forty-five (45) days after receipt of this form, the designating body may determine whether or not the property owner has substantially complied with the Statement of Benefits.
- If the property owner is found NOT to be in substantial compliance, the designating body shall send the property owner written notice. The notice must include the reasons for the determination, including the date, time, and place of a hearing to be conducted by the designating body. If a notice is mailed to a property owner, a copy of the written notice will be sent to the county assessor and the county auditor.
- Based on the information presented at the hearing, the designating body shall determine whether or not the property owner has made a reasonable effort to substantially comply with the Statement of Benefits and whether any failure to substantially comply was caused by factors beyond the control of the property owner.
- 4. If the designating body determines that the property owner has NOT made a reasonable effort to comply, the designating body shall adopt a resolution terminating the deduction. The designating body shall immediately mail a certified copy of the resolution to: (1) the property owner; (2) the county auditor; and (3) the county assessor.

Wei	nave reviewed the CF-1 a	and find that	<b>:</b>					
	The property owner IS in substantial compliance							
	The property owner IS NOT in substantial compliance							
Other (specify)								
Reaso	ns for the Determination (att	ach additions	l sheel	ts If necessary)				
Signat	ure of Authorized Member						Parts Clared (month day your	
							Date Signed (month, day, year)	
Attested By Designating Body								
If the property owner is found not to be in substantial compliance, the property owner shall receive the opportunity for a hearing. The following date and time has been set aside for the purpose of considering compliance.								
Time o	f Hearing		AM	Date of Hearing (month, day, yea	ŋ	Location of Hearing		
			PM					
		-		HEADING BESTILTS (1. 4.				
<b>3</b> /1 =				HEARING RESULTS (to be	comple			
Reserve	ns for the Determination (atta		pprove				Instruction 5 above)	
Signatu	re of Authorized Member						Date Signed (month, day, year)	
Atteste	Attested By Designating Body							
				APPEAL RIGHTS [	C 6-1.1	·12.1-5.9(e)]		
A property owner whose deduction is denied by the designating body may appeal the designating body's decision by filling a complaint in the office of the clerk of the Circuit or Superior Court together with a bond conditioned to pay the costs of the appeal is determined against the property owner.								

Electro-Spec, Inc. 1800 Commerce Parkway Franklin, IN 46131

Attachment to Form CF-1 (Compliance with Statement of Benefits) Employees by Quarter

Difference	Actual Total Between Actual	and Proposed	Number of	Employees	(30.00)
	Actual Total	Number of	Employees	as of 12/31/24	54
				12/31/2024	54
		mber of	By Quarter	9/30/2024	54
		Actual Number of	<b>Employees (By Quarter</b>	3/31/2024 6/30/2024 9/30/2024 12/31/2024	53
				3/31/2024	53
Proposed Total	Number of	Employees by	12/31/2014	(Form SB-1)	84
	Number of	<b>Employees As</b>	05/01/2012	(Form SB-1)	62

Resolution Number 12-07